

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE KETCHIKAN GATEWAY BOROUGH AQUATIC CENTER PROJECT

ADDENDUM No. 4

ADDENDUM DATE: February 26, 2010

This addendum forms a part of and modifies the RFP documents as noted below. Respondents must acknowledge receipt of this addendum in the space provided on the proposal form. Failure to do so will be considered an irregularity in the proposal and grounds for rejection.

The purpose of this addendum is to clarify Scoring Criterion #2 – “Character, integrity, reputation, and judgment of the firm and project team” (on Pages 6 and 7 of the RFP). This clarification is in response to a question received on 2/25/2010.

The question posed: “Please confirm you do not want each of our subconsultants to put forth claims/lawsuits etc for projects they did with other architects in the last 10 years?”

The clarification is: As the criterion is written, respondents must disclose claims and litigation involving the respondent firm. Additionally, claims or litigation involving every subconsultant to the respondent firm must also be disclosed, except where the work of the subconsultant was clearly not part of the claim or litigation (e.g., if the respondent plans to use a structural engineer as a subconsultant in this project and that subconsultant provided structural engineering services on a project for which there was a claim or litigation involving mechanical engineering but no claim or litigation involving structural engineering, that claim or litigation need not be disclosed).

KETCHIKAN GATEWAY BOROUGH

By: _____



Dan Bockhorst
Borough Manager